Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	2007	09/682,427	PREDIGER ET AL.
	ary	Examiner .	Art Unit
		Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746
All Participants:		Status of Application:	finally rejected
(1) Jonathan S. Crepeau.		(3)	
(2) <u>Ted Yoo</u> .		(4)	·
Date of Interview: 9 April 2005		Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes		ant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			•
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
,			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT \	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The eparate re	e examiner will provide a vecord of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview
		, by the examiner appears	, iii ait ii abovo.
			•
	•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant	/Applicant's Penresentative	e Signature – if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Application	Applicant 5 Kepresentativ	e Signature – ii appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned attorney and said that the application was now off suspension and would have to be acted on by the Examiner within a few weeks. Attorney said he was aware the application was off suspension and that he was waiting to hear from the client regarding further action.